EXHIBIT 1

```
1
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                        DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
  2
  3
  4
     MICHELE ERKAN, on behalf of
     herself, her minor child,
     and all others similarly
     situated,
                                     ) No. 12-12052-FDS
  7
                      Plaintiff,
     vs.
  8
     NEW ENGLAND COMPOUNDING
     PHARMACY, INC., d/b/a NEW
     ENGLAND COMPOUNDING CENTER,
 10
     et al.,
                     Defendants.
11
 12
     BEFORE: THE HONORABLE F. DENNIS SAYLOR, IV
 13
 14
                          STATUS CONFERENCE
 15
 16
 17
            John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse
 18
                           Courtroom No. 2
                          One Courthouse Way
 19
                           Boston, MA 02210
 20
                          February 15, 2013
 2.1
                             10:00 a.m.
 22
 23
                          Valerie A. O'Hara
                       Official Court Reporter
 24
            John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse
                    One Courthouse Way, Room 3204
 25
                           Boston, MA 02210
                      E-mail: vaohara@gmail.com
```

the defendants is in bankruptcy at this stage.

At the risk of stating the obvious and getting perhaps way ahead of myself, I want to begin talking immediately about where I think this thing is likely to go. I don't have really hardly any facts at all, and it's always dangerous to make a decision or to begin even trying to make a decision without facts, but it does look to me like we have a relatively small pool of assets here or a defined pool.

We look like we're going to have a large pool of claims, and my guess is that those claims are going to greatly exceed the available assets, I'm assuming, you know, liability here, I'm assuming it away, causation and product identification.

There may be lots of issues here, but assuming there is liability, I think, again, there's a limited pool, and I think we need to be talking about and thinking about from the beginning a procedure perhaps through the bankruptcy court, perhaps not, where there is a fund that could be administered to handle the claims for people that want to go down that path to try to reach the most equitable possible distribution of whatever assets are available.

I'm very conscious that whatever we do in litigation will diminish the insurance assets anyway and

10:05AM

10:05AM

perhaps all of the available assets, and, of course, everyone has a right to whatever due process the law allows. I'm sure there are individual claims and defenses and wrinkles that need to be thought through, but, again, I think this is something we need to be addressing from the beginning.

And, of course, also to state the obvious, it is important to me to manage the case that we have procedures and protocols in place so that I can imagine the litigation. To the extent there is litigation, I have appointed interim liaison counsel for the Massachusetts cases or the first dozen or so, and I need to set in motion a process for appointment of lead counsel.

We may at some point get to having committees and so forth, but I think I want to start with the relatively, relatively simple issue of appointing lead counsel, and I don't propose to do anything at this conference other than have a discussion again about where we are and to set some initial deadlines for achieving some of these things.

I welcome the lawyers who are appearing by telephone, I've seen some of you, some of you I have not, but welcome. I hope you can hear me, and I'm going to ask everyone who's in the courtroom who speaks to

10:06AM

2.0

10:07AM

creditors' committee to file its complaint, and the creditors' committee successfully briefed and argued motions for injunctive relief and attachments, so on January 28th, the bankruptcy court ordered the following: Ameridose, GDC and Medical Sales Management are restrained from paying Barry, Lisa, Greg or Carla up to \$21.1 million. The property of the individuals is attached up to \$21.1 million. The individuals are restrained from spending any assets other than as necessary for ordinary living or legal expenses, which the Court noted did not include February break trips to Aspen and an attachment of trustee process attached bank accounts up to \$21.1 million. So that's where we are, your Honor.

In terms of where we go from here, I think that everyone on the plaintiffs' side agrees with the observation that you made earlier, which is that the goal here should be to minimize expenses so as to not further deplete the pot while also securing a rapid recovery for a relatively well-known class of victims.

As I mentioned earlier, there are some strategic decisions to be made here in terms of which claims against particular groups of defendants proceed in which venue. We think that the Court ought to focus on appointing lead counsel or plaintiff leadership

10:11AM

10:12AM

lingering in the air and that we're starting to touch on is where do we go from here, and I'll just note the bankruptcy court has one model, and that model involves expenses being incurred by the creditors' committee, the trustee, respective counsel, financial advisors. That model can reduce available assets and the value of the estate in principal. The MDL has a different model, one based on at least the plaintiffs' lawyers working on contingency who are only paid if there is a recovery.

There are efficiencies associated with each model, and we believe that we can work together to come up with the most efficient resolution here. The overall notion, of course, should be to coordinate the litigation and to take the steps necessary, whether here or there, to foster an early fund for all claimants that can be equitably distributed, and we're counting, your Honor, on yourself working with Judge Boroff as well as counsel in the two camps working together and hand in hand with the trustee. So far that has been a very efficient and effective process, and we hope that it will continue once the counsel is appointed.

THE COURT: Thank you. And, again, just one of the odd wrinkles in this is I would imagine in the normal case you would have a secured creditor or one or more secured creditors who, you know, would have a first

10:54AM

10:55AM